

Public Document Pack

Meeting Supplement

Maidenhead Development Management Committee

Councillors Joshua Reynolds (Chair), Siân Martin (Vice-Chair), Maureen Hunt, Leo Walters, Mandy Brar, Geoff Hill, Helen Taylor, Gary Reeves and Kashmir Singh

Wednesday 21 February 2024 7.00 pm

Council Chamber - Town Hall, Maidenhead & on [RBWM YouTube](#)

www.rbwm.gov.uk



The following papers have been added to the meeting's agenda as they were not available for publication when the notice of meeting was issued.

Supplement

Item	Description	Page
8	23/02979/FULL Lowbrook Academy The Fairway Maidenhead SL6 3AS PROPOSAL: Single storey rear extension following demolition of existing shed. RECOMMENDATION: PERM APPLICANT: Mr Rooney EXPIRY DATE: 23 February 2024	3 - 6

By attending this meeting, participants are consenting to the audio & visual recording being permitted and acknowledge that this shall remain accessible in the public domain permanently.

Please contact Will Ward, Will.Ward@RBWM.gov.uk, with any special requests that you may have when attending this meeting.



This page is intentionally left blank

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD PANEL UPDATE

Maidenhead Panel

Application No.:	23/02979/FULL
Location:	Lowbrook Academy The Fairway Maidenhead SL6 3AS
Proposal:	Single storey rear extension following demolition of existing shed.
Applicant:	Mr Rooney
Agent:	Oxford Architects LLP
Parish/Ward:	Cox Green Parish/Cox Green
If you have a question about this report, please contact: David Brett on or at david.brett@rbwm.gov.uk	

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 One letter has been received objecting to the application – this is summarised in section 2.

There is no change to the recommendation in the main report.

2. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Comments from Interested Parties

- 2.1 One objection has been received in connection with the application. The main points are summarised in the table below:

Comment	Officer response	Change to recommendation?
Using the same colour for marking the boundary being affected by the build could also imply that the applicant could use that space to build on, according to the wording on the legend. Would it be better to use a different colour to mark the area affected by the build?	Noted. However, the location plan has been submitted in accordance with the national validation requirements. The red line on the location plan only applies to the site at the time of the relevant application. There have been no changes to the proposed development under this application.	No
The increase in classrooms, listed in the application, gives rise to more pupils, an increase in traffic and parking congestion on both The Fairway and Fairlea.	No increase in the number of classrooms is proposed. The purpose of the application is to increase the size of two existing classrooms to meet DFE requirements, not increase the number of students attending the	No

	<p>school. The application form states that the number of staff employed at the school would remain the same.</p> <p>In terms of on street parking, the development would not result in a significant increase in students attending the school resulting in highway safety concerns or a significant increase in vehicle congestion. The development is therefore not considered to significantly worsen the existing situation.</p>	
--	---	--

This page is intentionally left blank